

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/718,580	11/24/2003	Ludger Dinkelborg	SCH-1718 D01	1691
23599	7590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			LACYK, JOHN P	
2200 CLARI SUITE 1400	ENDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON VA 22201			3736	

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\checkmark				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,580	DINKELBORG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John P Lacyk	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ·					
,—						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 10-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	(PTO-413) ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) 🛄 Other					

Application/Control Number: 10/718,580

Art Unit: 3736

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 1. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 10-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by 3. Fischell et al or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Fischell et al in view of Suthanthiran et al.

Fischell et al disclose a stent having a radioactive isotope fixed on the surface of the stent. Fischell et al teaches that the radioactive isotope can be plated onto the surface which would inherently have some adhesive properties in order for the isotope to remain attached to the stent. However while Fischell et al does not specifically state the use of an adhesive, Suthanthiran et al teaches a radioactive device used in the body and specifically teaches that it is known to fix an adhesive layer onto a carrier by use of an adhesive (column 5, lines 39-45). Therefore a modification of Fischell et al such that the radioactive isotope is attached to the surface of a stent in any well know manner to one skilled in the art would have been obvious. Fischell et al also teaches that it is

Application/Control Number: 10/718,580 Page 3

Art Unit: 3736

known to use different radioactive isotopes (alpha, beta, gamma) depending on the desired use and does teach Gold (Au) as one that is known to be used.

4. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell et al and Suthanthiran et al.

With respect to claims 12-13 to use any well known adhesive to attach the radioactive isotope would have been obvious based upon the suitability for the intended use.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Lacyk whose telephone number is 703-308-2995.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,580

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lacyk Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

J.P. Lacyk